IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

v.	Petitioner,)) No. 3:08-CV-509-P) ECF	
NATHAN TDCJ-Cl	·)))	
	Respondent.)	
	RECOMMENDATION ON CERTI	FICATE AS TO APPEALABILITY	
to	1 0 1	auperis. The Court finds the motion reflects an intent a notice of appeal in the above captioned action in	
(2	28 U.S.C. § 2254 dismissing the petition	the District Court has entered a final order in a habeas corpus proceeding brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 dismissing the petition as a second or subsequent habeas petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244.	
(the District Court has entered a final order in a proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.		
	ursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedu Aggistrate Judge finds and recommends as fol	re 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), the undersigned lows:	
<u>II</u>	FP STATUS:		
		the party appealing should be GRANTED leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> . the party appealing should be DENIED leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> for the following reason(s):	
	24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) () the person appealing is not a p () the person appealing has not c Federal Rules of Appellate Pro	e District Court certify, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. (3), that the appeal is not taken in good faith; nauper; omplied with the requirements of Rule 24 of the ocedure and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by iciency and Order entered on).	
		a Certificate of Appealability should be GRANTED. (See issues set forth below). a Certificate of Appealability should be DENIED. (See reasons stated below).	

REASONS FOR DENIAL: For the reasons stated in the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, filed on May 27, 2008, and as adopted by the District Court on July 20, 2008, Petitioner has filed a second or subsequent habeas petition which should be transferred. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. §2244.

DATE: August 11, 2008

PAUL D. STICKNEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE